



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ſ	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	07/915.884		MATSUI	T	40399/119

18N1/0117

FOLEY & LARDNER SUITE 500 3000 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001-5109

MARSCHEKAMINER				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1807	39			

DATE MAILED:

01/17/95

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 1-5-95 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. Mr The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: the amat proposed for claim 2 comends a claim indicated as not entered on the Advisory Action, mailed 12-12-94. Therefore the proposed and trained the new some of attempting to amenda proposed dum. Mor do 20 las not been canceled due to the 12-12-9. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed: 2,3,7,16,18,19, and 22 Claims objected to: 21 Claims rejected: 1,4-6, and 20 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of record that are all applicable due to the non-entry of this and is the previously less and and
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The exposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
This proposed crawing democration in these interests of the street of th
SUPERVISORY PATENT EVALUATION
8-15 raman pending. GROUP 1800
Mh PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

7/9/5/884 PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)